



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/536,577	03/28/2000	Curtis Lee Cornils	IR105247	5755
22863 75	590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA	•	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
3102 NORTH	LAW DEPARTMENT 56TH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX, AZ	Z 85018		2134	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	, 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				Z			
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
" Office Action Summary		09/536,577	CORNILS ET AL.	e)			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew Heneghan	2134				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mon. , a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6' statute, cause the application to become	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication and the mailing date of the communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 March 2000.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	t.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2000 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊑	objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	orrection is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received ments have been received e priority documents have b ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage				
13)	See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do ince a specific reference was included in to 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doese ference was included in the first sentence	mestic priority under 35 U.s he first sentence of the spe ge provisional application hamestic priority under 35 U.s	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicacification or in an Application Data S as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a speci	heet.			
''	cicronoe was included in the hist sentence	or the specification of the	n Application Data Officet. 37 OFK 1.	70.			
Attachmen	at(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	l8) 5) ☐ Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, •			
0.00							

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 have been examined.

Specification

2. The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 09/536,577

Art Unit: 2134

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,584,566 to Hardjono.

As per claims 8 and 9, the group key management method disclosed by Hardjono process for re-keying upon a member leaving (regardless of the reason for the member leaving the group) wherein a key encryption key (SGK) is used to encrypt new key information being multicasted to other top-tier servers or sent one at a time (see column 8, line 45 to column 9, line16).

As per claims 10 and 11, new sets of keys are sent to all but the compromised node (see column 9, lines 23-42).

4. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,592,552 to Fiat.

The Broadcast Encryption method disclosed by Fiat includes a hierarchy of encryption keys, with keys assigned to nodes at each level (see column 12, line 58 to column 13, line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/536,577 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,584,566 to Hardjono as applied to claims 8-11 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,195,751 to Caronni et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Hardjono only discloses a top-down key distribution in a two-tiered system. Since it is only advantageous to use recursive algorithms in systems having at least three tiers, no recursion is disclosed.

The multicasting system disclosed by Caronni distributes keys in a recursive manner (by rebroadcasting) in order to ensure that new keys are distributed to participants that do not share common key encryption keys with a participant that generates new keys (see column 14, line 55 to column 15, line 5).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Hardjono by recursively distributing new keys, as disclosed by Caronni, in order that new keys are distributed to participants that do not share common key encryption keys with a participant that generates new keys.

Regarding claim 3-5, since the algorithm is recursive, the key distribution within lower tiers would be as in manner disclosed for the top tier as disclosed by Hardjono.

As per claim 6, the system disclosed by Hardjono may be used in an infrared (i.e. wireless) system (see column 4, line 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/536,577

Art Unit: 2134

As per claim 7, the system disclosed by Hardjono may be used with the Internet

(see column 4, line 6).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,748,736 to Mittra discloses a key multicasting scheme in a

multi-tiered environment.

U.S. Patent No. 6,263,435 to Dondeti et al. discloses a tree structure for key

distribution.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is

(703) 305-7727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from

8:00 AM - 4:00 PM Eastern Time. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

washington, DC 2023

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Page 5

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MEH A

January 13, 2004

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100